

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)
	09/974,044	COHEN ET AL.
	Examiner	Art Unit
	Maureen M. Wallenhorst	1743

All Participants:

(1) Maureen M. Wallenhorst. (3) _____.

(2) Anthony Sitko (Appl. Rep.). (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 23 March 2004

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Time: _____

Type of Interview:

- Telephonic
 Video Conference
 Personal (Copy given to: Applicant Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: Yes No

If Yes, provide a brief description: _____

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:

none

Claims discussed:

1, 2, 10

Prior art documents discussed:

none

Part II.

SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:

It was agreed to make minor changes in claims 1, 2 and 10 in order to place the application in condition for allowance. See Examiner's Amendment.

Part III.

- It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
 It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

(Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)